

Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

[Post Reply](#) [Search this topic...](#)

272 posts [1](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#)

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by [daniel j lavender](#) » Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am



daniel j lavender

Posts: 129
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact: [Contact](#)

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

Age wrote: ↑ Wed Jun 21, 2023 3:55 pm

I could NOT be bothered reading past the sub-heading - Existence is Eternal.

I suggest reading the remainder of the essay. Perhaps that will clarify things for you and allow actual discussion of the topics at hand.

Okay, I WILL now.

BUT doing so will NEVER take away from the CONTRADICTIONS and INCONSISTENCIES that you have been CONTINUALLY SAYING, and BELIEVING, ARE TRUE, AFTER your opening post here.

You have not identified any contradiction.

As you agree:

Age wrote: ↑ Sun Jul 09, 2023 1:00 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Sun Jul 09, 2023 12:28 am

You have failed to identify any contradiction.

I KNOW.

And this is just because I have YET to do so.

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

Because the text and statements presented do not align with your beliefs and terminology?

And, what BELIEFS and TERMINOLOGY are THEY, EXACTLY, which you ARE ASSUMING here?

Great question. Who knows? Do you even know?

This is part of the problem.

You haven't produced nor presented any real material. You haven't defined terms. You haven't grounded your statements.

You haven't produced nor provided a basic text or fundamental premises for reference. You haven't produced any structured material of which to refer. You're merely commenting and arguing as some authority figure possessing some irrefutable knowledge which you can't even produce in any coherent, reasonable fashion.

Who knows what you believe, who knows what your views are, who knows what philosophical system you have in mind, if you even do. You have not presented one.

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

The point of defining terms, the point of producing and presenting a structured essay is to convey an idea. A complete idea. A coherent, cohesive idea.

A Truly coherent and cohesive idea REALLY ONLY WORKS IF 'it' FITS IN PERFECTLY WITH ANY and EVERY OTHER idea. Which yours OBVIOUSLY does NOT here.

What does "fit in with" mean?

An idea, a system of ideas can be coherent and cohesive in and of itself.

Not all ideas are logical. By your premise here, whatever it may be as it is vague language, the philosophy would have to "fit in with" illogical ideas. The philosophy does not comport with illogical ideas nor does the philosophy comport with misleading terms.

The philosophy, existence is *inclusive* of illogical ideas, however, as existence is all things.

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

You are merely arguing with and scrutinizing others' ideas and statements.

What did you IMAGINE a 'philosophy forum' IS created FOR, EXACTLY?

Philosophy.

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

I have SAID 'this' a few times ALREADY here, in this forum: I am NOT necessarily here, in this forum, to SHARE ideas. I reserve doing 'this' SOMEWHERE ELSE.

And as you state here neither are you a philosopher:

Age wrote: ↑
I am certainly not a philosopher Sat Sep 15, 2018 12:47 am

A philosopher has works, a philosopher produces philosophical material. Not mere commentaries and quips.

You're not a philosopher. You're just a commentator.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by Age » Wed Jul 26, 2023 12:31 am



Age

Posts: 16527
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

I suggest reading the remainder of the essay. Perhaps that will clarify things for you and allow actual discussion of the topics at hand.

Okay, I WILL now.

BUT doing so will NEVER take away from the CONTRADICTIONS and INCONSISTENCIES that you have been CONTINUALLY SAYING, and BELIEVING, ARE TRUE, AFTER your opening post here.

You have not identified any contradiction.

I KNOW. AND, I KEEP TELLING you the reason WHY.

But you seem to KEEP MISSING or MISUNDERSTANDING 'this' AS WELL.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

As you agree:

Age wrote: ↑ Sun Jul 09, 2023 1:00 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Sun Jul 09, 2023 12:28 am
You have failed to identify any contradiction.

I KNOW.

And this is just because I have YET to do so.

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am
Because the text and statements presented do not align with your beliefs and terminology?

And, what BELIEFS and TERMINOLOGY are THEY, EXACTLY, which you ARE ASSUMING here?

Great question. Who knows? Do you even know?

YES, OF COURSE, I KNOW.

AND, that you CLAIM that 'they', which you have absolutely NO idea NOR clue OF do, supposedly, NOT 'align' just SHOWS and PROVES how your VERY OWN ASSUMPTIONS and BELIEFS are LEADING you COMPLETELY and UTTERLY ASTRAY here.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
This is part of the problem.

ONCE MORE, you ASSUMED some 'thing' here that is COMPLETELY and UTTERLY False, Wrong, AND Incorrect, but which has LED you to this False, Wrong, AND Incorrect CONCLUSION, which, unfortunately for you, are now BELIEVING IS TRUE, right?

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
You haven't produced nor presented any real material. You haven't defined terms. You haven't grounded your statements.

I have NOT NEEDED TO, NOR have I even beed ASKED TO. And this IS BECAUSE you BELIEVE that what you are SAYING and CLAIMING here is ABSOLUTELY TRUE and RIGHT.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
You haven't produced nor provided a basic text or fundamental premises for reference. You haven't produced any structured material of which to refer.

WHY WOULD I?

I have ASKED you if you could be WRONG here, and you have INFORMED us that you are NOT.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
You're merely commenting and arguing as some authority figure possessing some irrefutable knowledge which you can't even produce in any coherent, reasonable fashion.

WHY do you SAY and CLAIM, AGAIN, that I can NOT?

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
Who knows what you believe, who knows what your views are, who knows what philosophical system you have in mind, if you even do.

I will NOT go into the four Wrong issues here. But I will just POINT OUT, again, your OTHER OBVIOUSLY Wrong CLAIM here, that is; you have NO idea what my view is here BUT you somehow KNOW that 'it'

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am
You have not presented one.

BECAUSE I have NO reason to here.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

The point of defining terms, the point of producing and presenting a structured essay is to convey an idea. A complete idea. A coherent, cohesive idea.

A Truly coherent and cohesive idea REALLY ONLY WORKS IF 'it' FITS IN PERFECTLY WITH ANY and EVERY OTHER idea. Which yours OBVIOUSLY does NOT here.

What does "fit in with" mean?

In order to SHOW, REVEAL, and/or ILLUSTRATE the True AND Right 'Picture' of 'Life', and living itself, that is, ALL 'things', then the words AND ideas USED to do so have to FIT PERFECTLY TOGETHER, this means that there is absolutely NO contradictions NOR ANY inconsistencies ANYWHERE.

The GUTOE HAS TO consist of words defined in a way that does NOT just explain what is logically as well as physically POSSIBLE but is *what IS EXACTLY ACTUALITY*, itself. (Which, when 'it' does then becomes 'the, ACTUAL IRREFUTABLE, Truth' instead of just a theory).

And, when 'it' does, then this means ALL of the words, and ALL of their definitions, HAVE 'FITTED TOGETHER' PERFECTLY, to REVEAL the ACTUAL Truth of 'things' for ALL to LOOK AT and SEE.

your words here are NOT 'fitting together PERFECTLY', as 'they' ARE INCONSISTENT and CONTRADICTIONARY to the ACTUAL IRREFUTABLE Truth of 'things'.

Although YOUR words, terms, and definitions may well 'fit together' perfectly for and of 'your' OWN personal view/s, ONLY, 'they' do NOT 'FIT TOGETHER' WITH the ACTUAL and IRREFUTABLE Truth of 'things'.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

An idea, a system of ideas can be coherent and cohesive in and of itself.

WITH a PERSONAL view or BELIEF, like 'yours' may well do here, BUT 'your' ideas here are NOT 'FITTING IN WITH' what IS ACTUALLY True, Right, Accurate, AND Correct.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

Not all ideas are logical.

This is Right, some ideas like God created the Universe, once upon a time, the earth is flat', the sun revolves around the earth', that the Universe is expanding and thus began are, ACTUALLY, NOT 'logical' AT ALL. BUT for those who BELIEVE 'these things' ARE true, to them 'the ideas' around 'those BELIEFS and views' ARE so-called 'logical'. And this is ONLY because 'they' 'fit in with' their OWN personal so-called 'world views'.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

By your premise here, whatever it may be as it is vague language, the philosophy would have to "fit in with" illogical ideas.

REALLY?

So what we have her is ANOTHER one who BELIEVES, and thus likes to CLAIM, that if ANOTHER 'view' does NOT 'fit in with' 'its' OWN view, then this MEANS that the OTHER one IS the ILLOGICAL one.

Which, by the way, has been a Truly funny and humorous 'thing' to WATCH and OBSERVE 'you', adult human beings, do.

The philosophy does not comport with illogical ideas nor does the philosophy comport with misleading terms.

The philosophy, existence is *inclusive* of illogical ideas, however, as existence is all things.

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 12:14 am

You are merely arguing with and scrutinizing others' ideas and statements.

What did you IMAGINE a 'philosophy forum' IS created FOR, EXACTLY?

Philosophy.

Which is even 'what', EXACTLY, to you?

daniel j lavender wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 10:35 am

Age wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 25, 2023 8:01 am

I have SAID 'this' a few times ALREADY here, in this forum: I am NOT necessarily here, in this forum, to SHARE ideas. I reserve doing 'this' SOMEWHERE ELSE.

And as you state here neither are you a philosopher:

Age wrote: ↑ Sat Sep 15, 2018 12:47 am

I am certainly not a philosopher

A philosopher has works, a philosopher produces philosophical material. Not mere commentaries and quips.

You're not a philosopher. You're just a commentator.

Okay, if 'this' is what 'you' BELIEVE IS TRUE, then 'this' is ONLY what 'you' WILL continue TO SEE.

Did 'you' INFORM 'us' of what the 'philosophy' words MEANS and REFERS TO, to 'you'?

Either way, are 'you' CLAIMING that 'you' ARE a so-called "philosopher" here?

Do 'your' OWN INTERPRETATION of the 'philosophy' and 'philosopher' words 'FIT IN, PERFECTLY, WITH', "others" views of those two 'things' so that there is NO CONTRADICTION NOR DISAGREEMENT here?

If no, then WHAT is 'it', EXACTLY, which is making your OWN personal view/s and/ or BELIEF/S here the, supposedly, TRUE and RIGHT ones?

[Post Reply](#)

272 posts

[Return to "General Philosophical Discussion"](#)

[Jump to](#)

